

Quality Adjustment Paper on:

ISIC 7310 Advertising

Kat Pegler
Johanna von Borstel

United Kingdom ONS
Destatis

Introduction

- ONS-CSO questionnaire (2009) showed that around half of countries with advertising SPPI account for size of audience in some way
- But...is audience size a suitable quality adjustment?

Advertising services

- Creative services
- Media placement services
 - Media (advertising) agency
 Buys media space on behalf of their client. May charge as a broker or a wholesaler.
 - Media marketer
 Buys media space from the broadcaster/publisher and re-sells this space to their client.

The Voorburg Group

- "The objective of the VG is to establish an internationally comparable methodology for measuring the constant dollar outputs of the service industries"
- Need to assess the issue of quality adjustment from the perspective of treatment of Advertising placement in the national accounts

How might we view industry output

- As a broker
- As a reseller
- Is audience size related to industry output?

Industry output

- Media space of different audience sizes are different products
 - Everyone agrees on this!
- Advertising that reaches more of the target audience is seen as more desirable
- Is the service of *placing* advertising different for different sized audiences?
 - 2008 SNA suggests it is,
 - at least for some markets
- Looking at different industry practice might help us

Different billing methods

- Net basis
 - Broker
- Gross basis
 - Reseller
 - Broker
 - Cost of media space included

Different pricing mechanisms

- Commission, contract fee, methods based on working time
 - Usually for net billing methods, and brokers
- Expected audience size
 - Explicitly
 - Often implicitly
 - "30 second slot during match of the day"
 - Gross or net billing, brokers and resellers
- Achieved audience size
 - "1.5 million viewers"
 - Gross or net billing, brokers and resellers

Output: turnover and deflation

- Advertising SPPI used to deflate Advertising Turnover to get Advertising output
- Important that price index concept aligns with turnover so that output is the correct measure
- So what do we see in Advertising turnover?

Turnover practices vary

- Some markets have a net basis
 - eg, USA
- Some markets have a gross basis
 - eg, UK
- Some markets have a mix

How do we perceive the industry output?

- Where a net billing approach is used, we can clearly see the service separated from the media space
- Where the gross billing approach is applied, the value of the media space is included in turnover
 - The current price output of the advertising placement industry has some component where different audience size is an indicator of different products

How to proceed?

- For the net approach, this should be fairly straight forward
 - A change in service delivered must flow through to output
- For the gross approach, this depends on how the activity of the industry is viewed
 - And different viewpoints call for different solutions with respect to quality adjustment

1. The industry is a broker

- Advertising brokers are like stockbrokers etc.
- Bring buyer and seller together without taking ownership
 - And not including media in the billing method
- Audience size is not a consideration

2. The industry is like a wholesaler

- Buys and resells media space
 - Or at least includes media space in the billing method
- Service is the same for a broad range of products
 - 1.45 million audience is the same as 1.5 million
 - 10,000 audience is not the same as 1.5 million
 - Compare the approach of trade margins
 - This is implicitly an "expected audience size" type pricing mechanism
- A change in the size of the achieved audience is not a function of the industry

3. The industry adds value by achieving an outcome from a portfolio

- This is for resellers only
- A reseller buys a range of different media slots
 - Different channels, different times, terrestrial, cable and satellite TV etc.
- The service is managing the portfolio so that client achieves a certain outcome
 - The client buys "1.5 million audience achieved"
- A drop in achieved audience figures reflects on poor portfolio management
 - A change in the output of the industry

Example 1: Broker type, no quality change

	Price	Quantity	Turnover	Price Index	Output (constant prices)
Q1	1000	10	10,000	100	100
Q2	1500	10	15,000	150	100

Example 2: Reseller, expected audience size (wholesaler view)

	Price	Quantity	Turnover	Expected Audience	Acheived Audience	Price per expected viewer	Price index	Output (constant prices)
Q1	1000	10	10,000	1 million	1 million	0.001	100	100
Q2	1500	10	15,000	1 million	1.5 million	0.0015	150	100

- Expected audience size remains the same
- Product remains the same
- No quality adjustment
- Output remains the same

Example 3: Reseller, achieved audience size (portfolio manager)

	Price	Quantity	Turnover	Expected Audience	Acheived Audience	Price per achieved viewer	Price index	Output (constant prices)
Q1	1000	10	10,000	1 million	1 million	0.001	100	100
Q2	1500	10	15,000	1 million	1.5 million	0.001	100	150

- Achieved audience size changes (better portfolio management)
- Product has improved
- Quality adjustment applied (price per achieved viewer used)
- Output increases (as desired)

Issues

- Discounting occurs in addition to changes due to audience size
- Caution needed
 - Need to allow discount to pass through as price change
 - But need to remove that part of observed price change that is due to change in audience size
 - Where we believe that this is a change in output

Example 4: Reseller, achieved audience size (portfolio manager) in the presence of discounts

	Price	Quantity	Turnover	Expected Audience	Achieved Audience	Price per achieved viewer	Price index	Output (constant prices)
Q2	1000	10	10,000	1 million	1 million	0.001	100	100
Q3	1395	10	13,950	1 million	1.5 million	0.00093	93	150

- Achieved audience size changes (better portfolio management)
- Product has improved
- Quality adjustment applied (price per achieved viewer used)
- Output increases (as desired)

So which is right?

- For those billing methods that don't use any audience size, audience size is not an issue
- For those methods that use expected audience size (implicitly or explicitly), the service only changes when the expected audience size changes
- For those billing methods that use achieved audience size,
 - a change in audience size is *not* a quality change if we consider the service like a wholesaler
 - a change in audience size is a quality change if we consider the service as some sort of intermediary / portfolio manager

So which is right?

- Different circumstances apply in different markets
- Different national statistical offices may view the market output differently
 - And sometimes, even within the office!
- It is clear that turnover is effected
- "It depends"

Summary

Achieved audience size is not a function of the activities of Advertising Placement

BUT ...

- Audience size can appear in some billing methods and flow into turnover
- Whether this is a change in output depends on how the output of the industry is viewed
 - If we believe that the output is like a wholesaler, variations due to audience size should be seen as price effects
 - If we believe that the industry adds value beyond the wholesale activity, it is appropriate to remove the effect of change in audience size

Questions?

Thank you

Kat Pegler United Kingdom ONS

Johanna von Borstel

Destatis